## Final Project Peer Review Document

**Team mate :** Daniel Shmul

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Meaning of Category** | **Score** |
| Effort | Did this person put in reasonable effort to make the project a success? | 0.4 |
| Programming Proficiency | Did the member at least contribute 15% to the source code? (If they contributed more than 15%, give them 0.5 points) | 0.5 |
| Participation/Attendance | Was the person regularly attending team meetings? | 0.4 |
| Communication | Was the member responsive and communicated their responsibilities? | 0.4 |
| Initiation/Attitude/Ethics | Did the person initiate features of the project and had the right attitude? Was the person responsible and ethical? Would you work with this person in the future? | 0.4 |
|  | **Sum of all Scores (Out of 2 points)** | 2.1 |

Member’s Strengths (This will be shared with the member):

**A very strong communicator, stayed active in the chats and discussion. An overall phenomenal leader.**

Member’s Area for Improvement (This will be shared with the member):

**Not much I noticed and/or can point out. The late start of the team was a team issue, not an individual one. However, it can always be good to get the ball rolling for the rest of the team.**

**Team mate :** Alex Perez

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Meaning of Category** | **Score** |
| Effort | Did this person put in reasonable effort to make the project a success? | 0.4 |
| Programming Proficiency | Did the member at least contribute 15% to the source code? (If they contributed more than 15%, give them 0.5 points) | 0.5 |
| Participation/Attendance | Was the person regularly attending team meetings? | 0.4 |
| Communication | Was the member responsive and communicated their responsibilities? | 0.4 |
| Initiation/Attitude/Ethics | Did the person initiate features of the project and had the right attitude? Was the person responsible and ethical? Would you work with this person in the future? | 0.4 |
|  | **Sum of all Scores (Out of 2 points)** | 2.1 |

Member’s Strengths (This will be shared with the member): **Great debugger, GitHub educator and communicator**

Member’s Area for Improvement (This will be shared with the member): **Nothing noticeable.**

**Team mate :** Charles Richardson

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Meaning of Category** | **Score** |
| Effort | Did this person put in reasonable effort to make the project a success? | .3 |
| Programming Proficiency | Did the member at least contribute 15% to the source code? (If they contributed more than 15%, give them 0.5 points) | .5 |
| Participation/Attendance | Was the person regularly attending team meetings? | .4 |
| Communication | Was the member responsive and communicated their responsibilities? | .4 |
| Initiation/Attitude/Ethics | Did the person initiate features of the project and had the right attitude? Was the person responsible and ethical? Would you work with this person in the future? | .3 |
|  | **Sum of all Scores (Out of 2 points)** | 1.9 |

Member’s Strengths (This will be shared with the member): **Got the work done when it was assigned. Communicated effectively with the team.**

Member’s Area for Improvement (This will be shared with the member): **Work on starting assignments/tasks sooner.**